From: Young, Howard S.

To:Sheldrake, Sean; Zhen, DavisCc:Grandinetti, Cami; Scott Coffey

Subject: RE: Bathy FSP Comment Response and RLSO Review

Date: Tuesday, February 20, 2018 5:11:59 PM
Attachments: Bathymetery Response Check 2-20-18.xlsx

Sean and Davis,

Attached is the updated comment response table after discussion on the conference call today. Here are the status changes since the previous version.

Primary Comment #4: Changed from Yellow to Green based on discussion in the new Appendix A that the metadata generated by the survey would meet the National Geospatial Data Policy.

To Be Considered Comment #6: Changed from Red to Green based on discussion in the new Appendix A on quality control on the 2004 versus 2018 survey control and datum.

Matter of Style Comment #2: Changed from Red to Green based on acknowledgement at the meeting of our comment intent that the report content reference including a deviation from the FSP section.

Howard S. Young, LG | CDM Smith

14432 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 100 | Bellevue, WA 98007-6493

T: 425.519.8300 | Direct 425.519.8351 | Cell 206.491.4663 | <u>younghs@cdmsmith.com</u> |

www.cdmsmith.com

From: Coffey, Scott

Sent: Monday, February 19, 2018 6:45 PM

To: Sheldrake, Sean <sheldrake.sean@epa.gov>; Zhen, Davis <Zhen.Davis@epa.gov>

Cc: Greazel, Andrew <GreazelAD@cdmsmith.com>; Young, Howard S. <younghs@cdmsmith.com>; Blischke, Eric <bli>blischkee@cdmsmith.com>; Grandinetti, Cami <Grandinetti.Cami@epa.gov>

Subject: Bathy FSP Comment Response and RLSO Review

Importance: High Sean and Davis.

EPA/CDM Smith received the RLSO for the Bathymetry FSP from Ken Tyrell on Friday 2-16-18 at 5:02 nm

We went through the RLSO today and have added our assessment of whether or not the Pre-RD Group made the revisions they indicated they would make in the response to comments.

Primary comment #4 changed from a Green response to a Yellow (concern and conditional approval candidate) because their RLSO included a significant amount of additional technical material (new Appendix A) not previously provided in the original FSP submittal. We did a cursory review and couldn't find any reference to the technical documents their response said would be included in the updated FSP. The conditional approval would be to allow EPA additional time to review this new Appendix A, which at 17 pages, is close to the same size as the FSP.

To Be Considered Comments #9 changed from a Red (non-responsive) to a Green (acceptable) because they generally included what was being asked. Still not clear why they had to quote the so-called data analysis/use "agreement" from the February 7th meeting.

Other than those changes, Yellow responses remain candidates for conditional approval and Red responses are still non-responsive.

Scott

Scott Coffey, L.Hg.

Hydrogeologist

CDM Smith | **consulting engineering construction operations**

14432 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 100 | Bellevue, WA 98007 phone: (425) 519-8320 | mobile (206) 503-1512

email: coffeyse@cdmsmith.com | www.cdmsmith.com